# Journal of Fluorine Chemistry, 32 (1986) 453-455

Received: March 24, 1986; accepted: May 18, 1986

### COMMENTS ON THE ENTHALPY OF FORMATION OF ReF40

## A.A. WOOLF

Department of Science, Bristol Polytechnic, Bristol, BS16 1QY, (U.K.)

### SUMMARY

Heats of formation of Mo, Re and W oxo-tetrafluorides are compared with those of the corresponding hexafluorides by the isoelectronic heat method. The enthalpy of tungsten oxo-tetrafluoride is out of line. Some disproportionation and exchange reactions of oxo-tetrafluorides should be nearly athermal and unlikely to be of preparative use.

#### DISCUSSION

Burgess, Fawcett and Peacock have compared the heats of formation of hexafluorides of Mo, W and Re with those of the corresponding oxo-tetra-fluorides and considered the possibility of disproportionation and exchange reactions. [1]

The estimation of heats of formation of fluorides by using the heats of iso-electronic hydroxo-compounds [2,3,4] can be applied to check these results. It seems that one fluorine can be replaced by a hydroxy group without appreciable thermal effect. Furthermore, when more than one fluorine is replaced the enthalpy of the resulting hydroxo-compound is approximately the sum of the enthalpies of the dehydration products.

(i.e.  $MF_n \equiv M(OH)_n \equiv MO_{n/2} + n/2 H_2O$ )

Applying these approximations, the following enthalpy equivalences should hold

$$MF_{6} \equiv MF_{4}(OH)_{2} \equiv MF_{4}O + H_{2}O$$
  
whence  $\Delta H_{f}^{o} MF_{6}(g) - \Delta H_{f}^{o} MF_{4}O(g) = \Delta H_{f}^{o} H_{2}O(g)$ 

For M = Mo, W and Re the enthalpy differences are 229, 280 and 234 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively, compared with a value for  $\Delta H_f^0 H_20$  (g) of 241.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.

© Elsevier Sequoia/Printed in The Netherlands

The figures given in Table 1 have been corrected with the newer CODATA (1977) value for  $\Delta H_F^{o}$  F<sup>-</sup>(aq) and estimates for heats of sublimation of oxytetrafluorides at 25<sup>o</sup>C. The difference between a fluoride and oxyfluoride of the corresponding Group VI sub-group element, sulphur, is included for comparison. The difference is near the expected twice  $\Delta H_f^{o}$  H<sub>2</sub>0 (g).

The agreement is as close as can be expected for Mo and Re compounds, but the difference for the W compounds is out of line. Concordant values for WF<sub>6</sub> have been found in three independent fluorine-bomb combustions of tungsten [5,6,7] and it may be that the WF<sub>4</sub>O value is in error. A value close to -1480 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> would bring it in line.

It is of course possible to estimate the stability of  $\operatorname{ReF}_40$  to isovalent disproportionation without knowing the enthalpy of  $\operatorname{ReO}_3$ because the enthalpy equivalence  $3\operatorname{ReF}_40 \equiv \operatorname{ReO}_3 + 2\operatorname{ReF}_6$  implies a nearly athermal process. However, a reasonable value for  $4\operatorname{H}_f^0 \operatorname{ReO}_3(c)$  of -611 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> is available [8] from oxygen-bomb calorimetry and hence the reaction heat for  $\operatorname{ReF}_40(g) \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{ReO}_3(c) + \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{ReF}_6(g)$ can be calculated as 7.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. The Gibb's free energy would be more positive because the number of gaseous molecules, and hence entropy, decrease.

It also follows from isoelectronic heats that reactions between  $MF_6 - MF_40$  couples would also be athermal

i.e. 
$$MF_6 + M'F_40 \rightarrow MF_40 + M'F_6$$

Thus the suggested preparation of  $\operatorname{ReF}_40$  from  $\operatorname{ReF}_6$  and  $\operatorname{WF}_40$  is unlikely to be feasible unless one of the products could be differentially removed. The predicted small value of the equilibrium constant could be tested in the liquid mixture by  $\operatorname{F}^{19}$  n.m.r. measurements or in the gas phase by Raman spectroscopy.

The partitioning of dissociation energies into separate bond energies is arbitrary since it assumes transferable bond energies. This may be a fair approximation in regular homologous series of organic compounds, but would be unreliable for oxyfluoride systems with metals in different valency states where both the M-O and M-F bond energies vary from compound to compound.

TABLE 1

			Difference
MoF <sub>6</sub> (g)	- 1,558	MoF <sub>4</sub> 0 <sub>(g)</sub> - 1,329 <sup>a,b</sup>	- 229
WF <sub>6</sub> (g)	- 1,721.7 - 1,722.6 - <u>1,721.5</u> - <u>1,722</u>	WF4 <sup>0</sup> (g) - 1,422 <sup>a,c</sup>	- 280
ReF <sub>6</sub> (g)	- 1,349 <sup>a</sup>	$\operatorname{ReF}_{4^{0}(g)}$ - 1,115 <sup>a</sup>	- 234
SF <sub>6</sub> (g)	- 1,221	SF <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2 (g)</sub> - 770	2 x (- 226)

Comparison of formation enthalpies of fluorides and oxyfluorides  $(kJ mo1^{-1})$ 

<sup>a</sup> corrected using  $\Delta H_f^0 F(aq) - 335.35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ <sup>b</sup> estimated sublimation heat 62 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K. <sup>c</sup> " " 69 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> " ".

## REFERENCES

1	J.	Burgess,	J.	Fawcett	and	R.D.	Peacock,	J.	Fluorine	Chem.,	<u>31</u>
	(19	986) 25.									

- 2 A.A. Woolf, J. Fluorine Chem., <u>11</u> (1978) 307.
- 3 A.A. Woolf, J. Fluorine Chem., 15 (1980) 533.
- 4 A.A. Woolf, J. Fluorine Chem., 20 (1980) 627.
- 5 P.A.G. O'Hare and W.N. Hubbard, J. Phys. Chem., 70 (1966) 3353.
- 6 J. Schroeder and F.J. Sieben, Ber., 103 (1970) 76.
- 7 V.Ya. Leonidov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 205 (1972) 349.
- 8 G.E. Boyd, J.W. Cobble and W.J. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>75</u> (1953) 5783.